UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,198	01/23/2006	Toshio Hihara	05579-00350-US	6470
	7590 12/16/200 SOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2207		AHVAZI, BIJAN		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/563,198	HIHARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bijan Ahvazi	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
,	_				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 9-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/03/2006. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/563,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: A comma required to separate C_1 to C_3 alkoxy and C_1 to C_3 alkyl group in the sentence after formula (8). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,734,028) in view of Izutsu *et al.* (JP, 04-164969 (1992) Abstract) and further in view of in view Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,332,404).

Himeno *et al.* ("028") disclosure relate to a blue type disperse dye mixture, wherein a blue type disperse dye mixture having a certain specific monoazo dye as represented by formula (1) in an amount of 1.0 parts by weight (Col.2, line 5) and at least one certain specific anthraquinone dye represented by formula (2,3,4) in a total amount of from 0.2 to 3.0 parts by weight (Col. 2, line 7) mixed in a specific ratio (Col. 1, line 4) corresponding to the instant applicants' limitation claim 9. The recited anthraquinone dyes represented by formula (3) and (4) are analogous to the instant applicants' blue pigment represented by structural formula (1) and (4). Further, other blue dyes may be incorporated in a proportion of not higher than 10 wt %, as the case requires, and to obtain a desired color, a yellow dye or a red dye may be incorporated

Art Unit: 1796

(Col. 2, line 39). The dye mixture of the embodiment is capable of dyeing polyester fibers made of e.g. polyethylene terephthalate, polybutylene terephthalate or a polycondensation product of terephthalic acid with 1,4-bis-(hydroxymethyl)cyclohexane, with a blue color in a short time (Col. 2, line 45 & Col. 10, line 35, Claim 7) corresponding to the instant applicants' limitation claims 11 and 13. Himeno *et al.* ("028") fail to disclose a blue colored dye mixture which comprises blue pigment represented by structural formula (2) and (3).

Izutsu *et al.* (JP, 04-164969 (1992), abstract machine translation) disclose colored dye mixtures which give a dyed material of light to dense color. The recited anthraquinone dyes represented by formula 38, 39, 40 on page 12 are analogous to the instant applicants' blue pigment represented by structural formula (2). Neither Himeno *et al.* ("028") nor Izutsu *et al.* disclose a blue colored dye mixture which comprises blue pigment represented by structural formula (3).

Himeno *et al.* ("404") disclose a disperse dye mixture which is excellent particularly in both the light-fastness and temperature dependency and which is capable of dyeing polyester fibers in an excellent orange to reddish blue color and a dye mixture having blended to such a disperse dye mixture yellow and blue disperse dyes or a red disperse dye (Col. 1, line 2). Himeno *et al.* ("404") disclosure provide a disperse dye mixture comprising 100 parts by weight of the mixture of a monoazo dye of the formula (1) and a monoazo dye of the formula (2) as defined, from 2 to 2,000 parts by weight of at least one yellow disperse dye selected from the group consisting of dyes of the following formulas (3) to (7) which formulas (5) and (6) are analogous to the instant applicants' yellow pigment represented by structural formulas (5) and (6) (Col. 4, line 15 & 25) and from 2 to 2,000 parts by weight of at least one blue disperse dye

Art Unit: 1796

selected from the group consisting of dyes of the following formulas (8) to (10) which are analogous to the instant applicants' blue pigment represented by structural formulas (1), (2) and (3) (Col. 4, line 50 & Col. 5, line 5).

Regarding a blue colored dye mixture in the instant applicants' limitation claim, it is held (see MPEP § 2144.06 COMBINING EQUIVALENTS KNOWN FOR THE SAME PURPOSE) that "It is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." *In re Kerkhoven*, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding the weight % in the instant applicants' limitation claim the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that choosing the overlapping portion of the range taught in the prior art and the range claimed by the applicant, has been held to be a *prima facie* case of obviousness, see *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a blue type disperse dye mixture having at least one certain specific anthraquinone dye from the teaching of Himeno *et al.* ("028") with the colored dye mixtures as taught by Izutsu *et al.* and Himeno *et al.* ("404") in order to provide the leveling property, build-up property which is useful for short-time dyeing in the field of dyeing with a medium to deep color.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

4. Claims 10, 12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,734,028), Izutsu *et al.* (JP, 04-164969 (1992) Abstract) and Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,332,404) as applied to claims 9, 11and 13 as above and further in view of Tsumura *et al.* (JP, 06-345989 A, machine translation).

5. Himeno *et al.* ("028"), Izutsu *et al.*, and Himeno *et al.* ("404") disclose the features as discussed above. In particular, Himeno *et al.* ("404") disclose a disperse dye mixture in both the light-fastness and temperature dependency and which is capable of dyeing polyester fibers in orange to reddish blue color and a dye mixture having blended to such a disperse dye mixture yellow and blue disperse dyes or a red disperse dye (Col. 1, line 4) corresponding to the instant applicants' limitation claim 12. Himeno *et al.* ("028") also disclose a series of examples wherein the dyes polyester-based fibbed material has been dyed using the composition as shown in the Table 3 (Col.10, line 50) which corresponding to the instant applicants' limitation claim 14. However, Himeno *et al.* ("028"), Izutsu *et al.*, and Himeno *et al.* ("404") fail to disclose a dye composition, the blue colored dye mixture and a yellow dye mixture and /or a red dye mixture represented by structural formula (7).

Tsumura *et al.* (JP, 06-345989 A, machine translation) disclose a disperser dye mixture which can dye polyester fibers yellow analogous to the instant applicants' blue pigment represented by structural formula (7) (Page 6, ¶0006). Regarding a dye composition which comprises the blue colored dye mixture and a yellow dye mixture in the instant applicants' limitation claim, it is held (see MPEP § 2144.06 COMBINING EQUIVALENTS KNOWN FOR THE SAME PURPOSE) that "It is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third

composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." *In re Kerkhoven*, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding the weight % in the instant applicants' limitation claim the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that choosing the over lapping portion, of the range taught in the prior art and the range claimed by the applicant, has been held to be a *prima facie* case of obviousness, see *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a blue type disperse dye mixture having at least one certain specific anthraquinone dye from the teaching of Himeno *et al.* ("028") and the colored dye mixtures from the teaching of Izutsu *et al.* and Himeno *et al.* ("404") and a yellow dye mixture pigment as taught by Tsumura *et al.* in order to provide the light-fastness, temperature dependency and dyeing affinity.

- 6. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,734,028), Izutsu *et al.* (JP, 04-164969 (1992) Abstract), Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,332,404), and Tsumura *et al.* (JP, 06-345989 A, machine translation) as applied to claims 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 as above and further in view of Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,608,042).
- 7. Himeno *et al.* ("028"), Izutsu *et al.*, Himeno *et al.* ("404") and Tsumura *et al.* disclose the features as discussed above. However, Himeno *et al.* ("028"), Izutsu *et al.*, Himeno *et al.* and

Application/Control Number: 10/563,198

Art Unit: 1796

Tsumura *et al.* fail to disclose a method of dyeing polyester-based fibers in which the polyester-based fibers are mixed fibers of different fineness and a dyed polyester-based fiber material in which the polyester-based fibers are mixed fibers of different fineness. Himeno *et al.* ("042") disclosure relate to water-insoluble monoazo dyes, particularly monoazo dyes suitable for dyeing polyester fibers. More particularly, it relates to red, blue or reddish purple monoazo dyes suitable for dyeing fine denier polyester fibers (Col.1, line 6). Himeno *et al.* ("042") disclose among polyester fibers, not only ordinary polyester fibers (regular denier fibers) but also microfibers (fine denier fibers, which are less than 1 d) and ultramicro fibers (which are less than 0.3 d) may be mentioned as fibers which can successfully be dyed with the monoazo dye of the present embodiment (Col.5, line 52), wherein the dyed cloths are obtained with different denier polyester fibers dyed with same color and the same color strength as shown in Example 1 (Col.6, line 45) corresponding to the instant applicants' limitation claims 15 and 16.

Page 7

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a blue type disperse dye mixture having at least one certain specific anthraquinone dye from the teaching of Himeno *et al.* ("028"), the colored dye mixtures from the teaching of Izutsu *et al.* and Himeno *et al.* ("404") and a yellow dye mixture pigment from teaching of Tsumura *et al.* with applying a method of dyeing polyester-based fibers in which the polyester-based fibers are mixed fibers of different fineness as taught by Himeno *et al.* ("042") in order to provide not only color fastness to washing but also various color fastnesses such as color fastnesses to perspiration (alkali), to sublimation and to water. Further, even if the dyed produces are further processed, no substantial decrease in the wet color fastness occurs.

Art Unit: 1796

8. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,734,028), Izutsu *et al.* (JP, 04-164969 (1992) Abstract), Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,332,404), Tsumura *et al.* (JP, 06-345989 A, machine translation), and Himeno *et al.* (Pat. No. 5,608,042) as applied to claims 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 as above and further in view of Akai *et al.* (Pat. No. 5, 824,118).

Himeno *et al.* ("028"), Izutsu *et al.*, Himeno *et al.* ("404"), Tsumura *et al.* and Himeno *et al.* ("042") disclose the features as discussed above. However, Himeno *et al.* ("028"), Izutsu *et al.*, Himeno *et al.*, Tsumura *et al.* and Himeno *et al.* ("042") fail to disclose a method of dyeing polyester-based fibers in which the polyester-based fibers are mixed fibers comprising polyester-based fibers which can be dyed with a cationic dye and regular polyester-based fibers and a dyed polyester-based fiber material in which the polyester-based fibers are mixed fibers comprising polyester-based fibers which can be dyed with a cationic dye and regular polyester-based fibers. Akai *et al.* disclosure relate to a dye and a dyeing method that are useful for the manufacture of automobile seat materials for which a high light-fastness at high temperatures is required. More particularly, it relates to a dye capable of dyeing acrylic fibers and cationic-dyeable polyester fibers used as automobile seat materials or the like, and a dyeing method making use of the dye (Col.1, line 11) wherein a typical red cationic dye for acrylic fibers and cationic-dyeable polyesters fibers used in the present industrial field is C.I. Basic Red 29 (Col.1, line 60) corresponding to the instant applicants' limitation claims 17 and 18.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a blue type disperse dye mixture having at least one certain specific anthraquinone dye from the teaching of Himeno *et al.* ("028"), the colored dye mixtures from the teaching of Izutsu *et al.*, Himeno *et al.* ("404"), a yellow dye mixture pigment from teaching of Tsumura *et al.* and applying a method of dyeing polyester-based fibers in which the polyester-

Application/Control Number: 10/563,198 Page 9

Art Unit: 1796

based fibers are mixed fibers of different fineness from teaching of Himeno *et al.* ("042") with a dye capable of dyeing acrylic fibers and cationic-dyeable polyester fibers as taught by Akai *et al.* in order to have a good light-fastness at high temperatures have a little poorer light-fastness at high temperatures than the dyed products of the regular polyester fibers dyed with use of dispersion dyes having a good light-fastness at high temperature (Col. 2, line1).

.

Examiner Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bijan Ahvazi, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)270-3449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:0-5:0. (Off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BA/ Bijan Ahvazi Examiner Art Unit 1796 /Lorna M Douyon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

11/14/2008

Application/Control Number: 10/563,198

Page 10

Art Unit: 1796